



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,739	12/18/2001	Michael D. Ladwig	3351-029A (PRC-127)	9729	
. 75	90 06/04/2003				
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road			EXAMINER		
			BONZO, BRYCE P		
Alexandria, VA	. 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2184	フ	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)			PPG					
## Deficie Action Summary ## Examiner Bryce P Bonzo ## Derivation DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ## Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ## THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Edutations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.105(s). In or evert, however, may a reply be limitly filed - If the period tray systelide above, the reminimum statutory genies will apply and will expire SX (8) MANTH3 from this realiting state of this communication or reply, a specified above, the reminimum statutory genies will apply and will expire SX (8) MANTH3 from this realiting state of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a rise of the period of the reply specified above, the reminimum statutory genies will apply and will expire SX (8) MANTH3 from this period detect of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a rise of the period of the reply specified above, the reminimum statutory genies will apply and will expire SX (8) MANTH3 from the realities detect in the period of the reminimum statutory genies will apply and will expire SX (8) MANTH3 from the realities detect in the remaining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a rise of the summer of the remaining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a rise of the summer of the remaining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce a rise of the summer of the summer of the remaining date of this communication. ### Provided To Provide Active Tray of the Providence Accept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Disposition of Claims ### Application in the providence Accept in the application. ### Application of Claims ### Application in the providence Accept Sylvare pending in the application. ### Application Papers ### Application Papers ### App		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Bryce P Bonzo 2184 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thinty (50) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) days, a reply within the statisticy minimum of thinty (50) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the communication of the communication of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than the communication of the communication of the communication. By reply versive the difficult is a condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quaylo, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,14-20,22-24 and 26-29 is/are rejected. The proposed drawing correction filed on	Office Action Comments	10/017,739	LADWIG, MICHAEL D.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available used the provisions of 3 CER. 1.13(lp). In no everti, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above it less time thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutiony minimum of thinty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above it less time thinty (30) days, a reply with the statutiony minimum of thinty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above it less time thinty (30) days, and a reply to time the statution of thinty (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above it less time thin the reply of the communication, which is the period of the communication. If the period for reply is specified above it less time the replacement of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any self-replacement and plantament. See 37 CFR 1.76(lp). Status New This action is FINAL. 2b)	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time risky to evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time risky to evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time risky to evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will export SIX (b) MCNThS from the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will export SIX (b) MCNThS from the maining date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce alwy examined patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.784(a). Status 1)	The MAN INC DATE of this commission is							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be wisble under the provisions of 37 CR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It no provision of the mailing date of the communication of the communication of the communication of the provision of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a). See the attached defense of the priority documents have been received in		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.14-20.22-24 and 26-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.14-20.22-24 and 26-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) aproved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 48 See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.14-20.22-24 and 26-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on subject to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) proved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	ecember 2001 .						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.14-20.22-24 and 26-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8.14-20.22-24 and 26-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
Disposition of Claims 4)	,— —		osecution as to the merits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 11 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,14-20,22-24 and 26-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) Z is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2 □ Ototice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Intornal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,14-20,22-24 and 26-29 is/are	pending in the application.						
6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,14-20,22-24 and 26-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) Z is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21 □ Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Intornal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,14-20,22-24 and 26-29</u> is/are i	rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	••							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) _ approved b) _ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) _ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) _ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) _ All b) _ Some * c) _ None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) _ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) _ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) _ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) _ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) _ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) _ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		= : :	· ·					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• •						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F						



Art Unit: 2184

NON-FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 14-20, 22-24 and 26-27 are rejected under Double Patenting.

Claims 1,4-6, 8, 14-19, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 USC §102.

Claims 2, 14, 20, 23, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a).

Claim 7 is objected to while containing allowable matter.

Obvious-type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 14-20, 22-24 and 26-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-33 of U.S. Patent No. 6,408,404. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Art Unit: 2184

Claims 1-33 of United States Patent No. 6,408,404 do not recite claim the direction by the presence found in claims 1, 2, 4-8, 14-20, 22-24 and 26-27 of the pending application. In a computer system designed to gather large amounts of varied data it is well known in the art guide the gathering of data so as to gather only the data needed. This is due to the sheer the quantity of data on a network. When a system attempts to simple gather all data it can often become overloaded and begin to miss needed data. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the direction by the presence such as passing the parameters of a filter to a gatherer in order ensure the needed data is gathered.

Claims 20-33 of United States Patent No. 6,408,404 do not recite claim the requirement for heterogeneous data found in claims 1, 2, 4-8, 14-20, 22-24 and 26-27 of the pending application. Network data is well known to be a heterogeneous mix of information ranging from IP addresses, ECC coding, time stamps and other items. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to data that was heterogeneous in nature, as the network itself transmits data in a heterogeneous form.

Rejections under 35 USC §102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2184

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McCreery.

As per claim 1, McCreery discloses:

gathering heterogeneous data (column 4, lines 48-63; column 5, lines 1-10), as directed by the presence (column 4, lines 55-56; column 4, lines 45-47 means to configure a filter) at two or more remote computers (column 4, lines 8-18) and placing the gathered data in a data stream and forwarding the data stream to the presence (column 4, lines 63-65).

receiving at least one data stream at a computer, the data stream including data representative of events (column 4, lines 41-43 and 58-67);

applying rules to the data stream for sorting data representative of events and for taking an action based on a specific event (column 4, lines 44-57).

As per claim 4, McCreery discloses: wherein said gathering step is performed by an agent (column 4, lines 35-63 the network interface is an agent as it works on behalf of the analyzer).

As per claim 5, McCreery discloses: hunting for predetermined data at a remote location and placing the hunted data in a data stream and forwarding the data stream to

Art Unit: 2184

the computer (the IP addresses of packets filtered by McCreery contain data stored at a remote location).

As per claim 6, McCreery discloses: the hunting is carried out by agents (column 4, lines 35-63 the network interface is an agent as it works on behalf of the analyzer).

As per claim 8, McCreery discloses: wherein the at least one data stream includes message traffic (column 2, lines 11-20).

As per claim 15, McCreery discloses: wherein an event is comprised of at least one of the following elements: types, title, *datetime*, keywords, summary priority and duration (Figure 5b-1).

As per claim 16, McCreery discloses: wherein a rule includes a criteria component and an action component (column 5, lines 47-57: Action-"notification", Criteria: "exceeds predetermined thresholds").

As per claim 17, McCreery discloses: wherein the criteria component includes at least one criteria statement and to satisfy a rule either all, any or none of the at least one criteria statements need to be satisfied. As McCreery shows, once the network exceeds a threshold (satisfies a rule criteria) action is takes (column 5, lines 52-57).

As per claim 18, McCreery discloses: at least one action is taken if the at least one rule is satisfied (column 5, lines 43-57).

As per claim 19, McCreery discloses: wherein the data in the event data stream is received in a standardized format (Figure 5c, Ethernet format).

Art Unit: 2184

Claim 22 is rejected in the manner of claim 1, as claim 22 is the article of

manufacture embodiment of the method claim 1.

Claim 24 is rejected in the manner of claim 1, as claim 24 is the computer

architecture embodiment of method claim 1.

Claim 26 is rejected in the manner of claim 1, as claim 26 is the computer system

embodiment of method claim 1.

As per claim 28, McCreery discloses:

wherein said gathering step includes collecting/gathering data at two or more

remote computes (column 6, lines 41-46).

As per claim 29, McCreery discloses:

wherein said gathering and receiving step are preformed in real-time. McCreery

discloses the use of a hardware device (that is a network device in promiscuous mode)

taking data off of a network. As the network device is operating at a high speed and

immediately processing the network data s soon as it is placed on the network, it is a

real-time system.

Rejections under 35 USC §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the

invention was made.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2184

Claims 2, 14, 20, 23, 25, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCreery.

As per dependant claim 2, McCreery does not explicitly teach displaying the events in a timeline. McCreery does disclose the gathering and formatting of the information required to generate a timeline. Further, McCreery does specifically discloses the data is used to generate charts and graphs. Additionally, McCreery teaches the display of data in chronological order (Figures 5 and 7). A timeline provides easy user access to information in chronological order. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the gathered information of McCreery to display a timeline.

As per claim 14, McCreery does not explicitly disclose: filling (storing) the sorted information in separate data stream files. McCreery does store data which has been modified and raw data, however does state that the data is stored separately. Given the purpose of creating the modified data is to "avoid redundant storage of the same data" (column 5, lines 30-35). Therefore it is clear McCreery is storing multiple sets of data. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to store the sorted information separately from other information thus allowing easy access to the filtered information.

As per claim 20, McCreery discloses: displaying an event stream using information stored in stored stream files (column 5, lines 31-43, Figures 5).

Claim 23 is rejected in the manner of claim 2, as claim 23 is the article embodiment of the method claim 2.

Art Unit: 2184

Claim 27 is rejected in the manner of claim 2, as claim 27 is the computer system

embodiment of method claim 2.

As per claim 29, McCreery discloses:

wherein said gathering and receiving step are preformed in real-time. McCreery

discloses the use of a hardware device (that is a network device in promiscuous mode)

taking data off of a network. As the network device is operating at a high speed and

immediately processing the network data s soon as it is placed on the network, it is a

real-time system.

Allowable Matter

Claims 7 is objected to while containing allowable matter.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: McCreery does not disclose: normalizing data before the data is placed in the

stream in combination with all the remaining limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bryce P Bonzo whose telephone number is (703)305-

4834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 5:30AM

to 2:00PM.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2184

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel, can be reached on (703) 305-9713. For facsimile transmission:

After-final	(703) 746-723	38
	(1.00)	

(703) 746-7239 Official

(703) 746-7240 Non-Official/Draft

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100